

## REMARKS

The title has been amended to more accurately reflect the claimed invention.

The drawings have been objected to as failing to comply with 37 C.F.R. §1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the reference sign 25. As stated above, FIG. 1 has been amended to change reference number 15 therein to reference number 25.

As requested by the Examiner, the specification has been corrected on Page 4, line 26.

Claim 7 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention because it depends on itself. Claim 7 has been amended to depend from Claim 1.

Applicant acknowledges the allowance of Claims 1-6 and 9. Applicant further acknowledges that Claims 7 and 8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. In view of the amendment to Claim 7 set forth above, it is assumed that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, is withdrawn and that Claim 7, and Claim 8 which depends therefrom, are allowed.

New Claim 16 is similar to Claim 3 and is supported by the specification, for example by the paragraph beginning at Page 9, line 20 of the application.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the claims of record are allowable and that the application should be passed to issue. Should the Examiner believe that the application is not in a condition for allowance and that a telephone interview would help further prosecution of this case, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney at the phone number below.

Respectfully submitted,

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP



Edward N. Bachand, Reg. No. 37,085

Customer No. 32,940  
Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 3400  
San Francisco, CA 94111-4187  
Telephone: 650-494-8700  
1088455